



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 1	1,457	7.21%	359	44.29%
Lake	1,457	7.21%	359	44.29%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 1 Percent Removal**



**Lake County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Jasper	64	35.94%	16	0.00%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 2 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Jasper County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Laporte	380	26.05%	62	33.87%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Laporte County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Newton	53	18.87%	30	16.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Newton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Porter	282	35.82%	110	16.36%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Porter County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Pulaski	29	20.69%	15	26.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Pulaski County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 2	983	36.01%	247	21.46%
Starke	175	65.71%	14	35.71%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 2 Percent Removal**



**Starke County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 3	1,657	17.14%	659	28.07%
Elkhart	519	11.75%	214	33.64%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Elkhart County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 3	1,657	17.14%	659	28.07%
Kosciusko	180	10.00%	61	6.56%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Kosciusko County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 3	1,657	17.14%	659	28.07%
Marshall	70	21.43%	19	10.53%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 3 Percent Removal



Marshall County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 3	1,657	17.14%	659	28.07%
Saint Joseph	888	21.40%	365	29.32%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 3 Percent Removal**



**Saint Joseph County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Adams	62	22.58%	19	31.58%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 4 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Adams County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Allen	954	13.84%	331	32.93%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 4 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Allen County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Dekalb	203	3.94%	124	16.94%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 4 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Dekalb County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Huntington	127	19.69%	52	5.77%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 4 Percent Removal**



**Huntington County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
LaGrange	82	4.88%	37	29.73%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



LaGrange County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Noble	104	22.12%	62	33.87%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Noble County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Steuben	142	0.70%	39	12.82%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 4 Percent Removal**



**Steuben County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Wells	79	12.66%	35	14.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 4 Percent Removal



Wells County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 4	1,795	12.09%	712	25.42%
Whitley	42	0.00%	13	0.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 4 Percent Removal**



**Whitley County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Benton	36	8.33%	13	23.08%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Benton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Carroll	56	19.64%	13	7.69%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Carroll County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Clinton	148	24.32%	51	13.73%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 5 Percent Removal



Clinton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Fountain	71	15.49%	28	21.43%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 5 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Fountain County Percent Removal



Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Tippecanoe	642	15.89%	187	28.34%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**Tippecanoe County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
Warren	23	8.70%	1	0.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**Warren County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 5	1,085	17.97%	308	24.35%
White	109	27.52%	15	33.33%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**White County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 6	650	21.08%	218	21.56%
Cass	147	50.34%	40	15.00%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 6 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Cass County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 6	650	21.08%	218	21.56%
Fulton	83	20.48%	22	4.55%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Fulton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 6	650	21.08%	218	21.56%
Howard	235	7.66%	93	21.51%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 6 Percent Removal**



**Howard County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 6	650	21.08%	218	21.56%
Miami	126	11.90%	33	36.36%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 6 Percent Removal



Miami County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 6	650	21.08%	218	21.56%
Wabash	59	22.03%	30	26.67%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 6 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Wabash County Percent Removal



Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 7	903	20.93%	267	30.34%
Blackford	57	14.04%	18	33.33%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 7 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Blackford County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 7	903	20.93%	267	30.34%
Delaware	457	26.48%	159	33.33%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Delaware County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 7	903	20.93%	267	30.34%
Grant	212	8.49%	52	25.00%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 7 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Grant County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 7	903	20.93%	267	30.34%
Jay	85	28.24%	21	14.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Jay County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 7	903	20.93%	267	30.34%
Randolph	92	19.57%	17	35.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 7 Percent Removal



Randolph County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Clay	105	46.67%	9	0.00%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 8 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Clay County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Parke	29	20.69%	7	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Parke County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Sullivan	50	18.00%	24	12.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Sullivan County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Vermillion	24	29.17%	9	55.56%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vermillion County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 8	561	17.11%	138	29.71%
Vigo	353	7.08%	89	37.08%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 8 Percent Removal



Vigo County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 9	1,053	32.67%	344	27.33%
Boone	111	38.74%	86	53.49%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Boone County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 9	1,053	32.67%	344	27.33%
Hendricks	254	27.95%	112	16.07%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Hendricks County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 9	1,053	32.67%	344	27.33%
Montgomery	245	28.98%	64	26.56%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Montgomery County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 9	1,053	32.67%	344	27.33%
Morgan	292	41.78%	52	23.08%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 9 Percent Removal



Morgan County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 9	1,053	32.67%	344	27.33%
Putnam	151	24.50%	30	3.33%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 9 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Putnam County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 10	3,875	5.47%	1,750	31.66%
Marion	3,875	5.47%	1,750	31.66%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 10 Percent Removal



Marion County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 11	1,173	21.40%	426	21.83%
Hamilton	387	29.20%	126	14.29%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Hamilton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 11	1,173	21.40%	426	21.83%
Hancock	156	20.51%	47	23.40%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Hancock County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 11	1,173	21.40%	426	21.83%
Madison	592	15.37%	224	28.13%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 11 Percent Removal



Madison County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 11	1,173	21.40%	426	21.83%
Tipton	38	39.47%	29	3.45%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 11 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Tipton County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Fayette	153	11.76%	34	38.24%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 12 Percent Removal**



**Fayette County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Franklin	69	4.35%	44	22.73%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Franklin County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Henry	238	9.66%	98	42.86%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Henry County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Rush	85	5.88%	53	30.19%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Rush County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Union	37	5.41%	17	5.88%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 12 Percent Removal



Union County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 12	1,006	13.02%	384	28.65%
Wayne	424	18.87%	138	20.29%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 12 Percent Removal**



**Wayne County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 13	930	20.86%	252	15.48%
Brown	52	34.62%	8	37.50%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 13 Percent Removal**



**Brown County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 13	930	20.86%	252	15.48%
Greene	151	11.26%	53	28.30%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Greene County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 13	930	20.86%	252	15.48%
Lawrence	176	21.02%	53	3.77%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Lawrence County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 13	930	20.86%	252	15.48%
Monroe	422	18.25%	113	12.39%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 13 Percent Removal



Monroe County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 13	930	20.86%	252	15.48%
Owen	129	34.88%	25	20.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 13 Percent Removal**



**Owen County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 14	1,206	12.44%	390	22.82%
Bartholomew	290	9.31%	110	28.18%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Bartholomew County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 14	1,206	12.44%	390	22.82%
Jackson	190	5.79%	84	27.38%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 14 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Jackson County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 14	1,206	12.44%	390	22.82%
Jennings	142	2.11%	68	14.71%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Jennings County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 14	1,206	12.44%	390	22.82%
Johnson	397	18.89%	67	25.37%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Johnson County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 14	1,206	12.44%	390	22.82%
Shelby	187	18.18%	61	13.11%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 14 Percent Removal



Shelby County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Dearborn	172	8.14%	99	28.28%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Dearborn County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Decatur	146	9.59%	87	41.38%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Decatur County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Jefferson	170	8.24%	37	10.81%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Jefferson County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Ohio	27	3.70%	14	21.43%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Ohio County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Ripley	121	0.83%	58	18.97%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 15 Percent Removal



Ripley County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 15	689	6.68%	316	27.53%
Switzerland	53	3.77%	21	23.81%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 15 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Switzerland County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Gibson	143	13.29%	78	47.44%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 16 Percent Removal**



**Gibson County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Knox	196	8.67%	95	23.16%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Knox County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Pike	123	16.26%	44	25.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 16 Percent Removal**



**Pike County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Posey	92	6.52%	31	22.58%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Posey County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Vanderburgh	503	2.58%	260	36.15%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 16 Percent Removal



Vanderburgh County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 16	1,171	8.63%	548	32.12%
Warrick	114	22.81%	40	12.50%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 16 Percent Removal**



**Warrick County Percent Removal**







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008

April 2008 to June 2008


Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Crawford	53	15.09%	19	15.79%

Statewide Percent Removal




Percent Removal

Region 17 Percent Removal



Percent Removal

Crawford County Percent Removal



Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Daviess	94	36.17%	37	27.03%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 17 Percent Removal**



**Daviess County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


**April 2008 to June 2008**

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Dubois	76	30.26%	29	10.34%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 17 Percent Removal**



**Dubois County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Martin	26	11.54%	17	11.76%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Martin County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Orange	87	14.94%	35	5.71%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Orange County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Perry	79	37.97%	45	62.22%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 17 Percent Removal**



**Perry County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 17	471	26.75%	206	24.27%
Spencer	56	26.79%	24	8.33%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 17 Percent Removal



Spencer County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 18	1,115	14.35%	541	21.26%
Clark	449	12.25%	255	21.57%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Clark County Percent Removal







# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 18	1,115	14.35%	541	21.26%
Floyd	255	22.35%	58	18.97%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Floyd County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 18	1,115	14.35%	541	21.26%
Harrison	125	16.80%	37	37.84%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Harrison County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 18	1,115	14.35%	541	21.26%
Scott	204	6.86%	162	15.43%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Scott County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for June 2007 to June 2008


April 2008 to June 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Apr 2008 to Jun 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	21,780	15.11%	8,065	27.64%
Region 18	1,115	14.35%	541	21.26%
Washington	82	15.85%	29	34.48%

Statewide Percent Removal



Region 18 Percent Removal



Washington County Percent Removal

